### Questionnaire 3D-Cadastres: status September 2014 Poland



This questionnaire is an activity of the FIG working group 3D-Cadastres 2014-2018. The purpose of the survey is to make a world-wide inventory of the status of 3D-Cadastres at this moment and the plans/expectations for the near future (2018). By sharing this information, it should be possible to improve cooperation, learn from each other and support future developments. This is the second time that the questionnaire on 3D-Cadastres is conducted by the FIG working group on 3D-Cadastres. The first time was in 2010 in order to document the status in 2010 and expectations back then for 2014. The responses have been analysed (van Oosterom et al. 2011, Karki 2013). For more information on the FIG working group on 3D-Cadastres see the website <u>www.gdmc.nl/3DCadastres</u>. Now a few notes and suggestions, which should be helpful when completing the questionnaire:

- The conceptual model used as background for the 3D Cadastres questionnaire is the ISO 19152 standard (ISO, 2012): the Land Administration Domain Model (LADM).
- In this questionnaire the concept of 3D-Cadastres with 3D parcels (or 3D spatial units in LADM terminology) is intended in the broadest possible sense. However, what exactly is (or could be) a 3D parcel is dependent on the legal and organizational context in the specific country (state, province). 3D parcels include land and water spaces, both above and below surface.
- A more formal definition: A 3D parcel is defined as the spatial unit against which (one or more) unique and homogeneous<sup>1</sup> rights (e.g. ownership right, lease or other land use right), responsibilities or restrictions are associated to the whole entity, as included in a Land Administration system.
- As the definition above is quite abstract, it is tried in the questions to be more specific and real world situations are used. Many examples with partial/preliminary answers from 2010 are available on-line at <a href="http://www.gdmc.nl/3DCadastres/participants/">http://www.gdmc.nl/3DCadastres/participants/</a>. Inspecting some of the completed 2010 questionnaires from different other countries might help when formulation the answers for your jurisdiction.
- A 3D parcel is a 'legal object' describing a part of the space. Often there is a relationship with a real world/physical object, which can also be described in 3D. Please be aware of the difference between these two types of objects and that the focus in the context of 3D-Cadastres is on spaces of the legal objects and not the registration of the physical objects as such.
- If a certain question is not relevant or if you have no clue what to respond, do not spend any time on this (and leave the field blank).
- The questionnaire has been prepared by a mixed Australian (Rod Thompson/Sudarshan Karki)/Dutch (Jantien Stoter/Hendrik Ploeger/Christiaan Lemmen/Peter van Oosterom) team. The questionnaire is grouped in the number of blocks. This has no meaning in the sense of priority and it is often the case that a question could belong to multiple blocks. Please do not feel disturbed by this.
- Similar to the Questionnaire 3D-Cadastres, the completed forms will be made available on website of FIG working group on 3D Cadastres.
- Please complete this questionnaire before *1 October 2014* and send it to <u>P.J.M.vanOosterom@tudelft.nl</u> (or Peter van Oosterom, TU Delft, OTB, P.O. Box 5030, 2600 GA Delft, The Netherlands).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Homogenous means that the same combination of rights equally apply within the whole 3D spatial unit. Unique means that this is the largest spatial unit for which this is true. Making the unit any larger would result in the combination of rights not being homogenous. Making the unit smaller would result in at least 2 neighbour 3D parcels with the same combinations of rights.

### 1. General/applicable 3D real-world situations

This part of the questionnaire refers to the applicable 3D real-world situations to be registered by 3D parcels. It also addressed the types of 3D geometries, which are considered to be valid 3D representations for these parcels.

	Status 2014	Expectations 2018
1.1. Are all 3D parcels (3D spatial units	3D parcels are not defined	Not necessarily.
in LADM terminology) constrained to	L.	5
be within one surface 2D parcel?		
1.2. Are 2D and/or 3D ambulatory <sup>2</sup>	No	No
boundaries permitted?		
1.3.a. Is it allowed to have 3D parcels		No
(spatial units) not related to physical		
constructs or objects? (e.g. airspace,		
subsurface volumes)		
1.3.b. If 1.3.a positive: approximately		
what proportion of new 3D parcels		
(spatial units) would involve such cases		
(not related to physical object)?		
1.4. Are disconnected parts of a single		No
3D parcel allowed?		
1.5. Spatial limitation – e.g. must the		Closed volume mandatory
3D parcel be related to a closed volume		
or is it allowed to have 'open' or		
unbounded 3D parcels (e.g. towards the		
sky).?		
1.6. Are curved surfaces to bound the		No
3D parcels allowed?		
1.7. Must the curved surfaces (if		
allowed) be cylindrical sections, or any		
other constraint?		
1.8. Any other constraints – e.g. all	The limitations for potential	The limitations for
surfaces must be horizontal or vertical?	3D parcels are defined within	potential 3D parcels are
	the Aviation Law and the	defined within the Aviation
	Geological and Mining Law	Law and the Geological
		and Mining Law
1.9. Is there legislation (law and/or	No	Yes. 3D parcel description.
regulations) for 3D descriptions of		
parcels? If so please, mention law and		
article(s).	N	N/
1.10. Is the legal text available in	No	Yes
original language?	N	N
1.11. Is the legal text (relevant part)	No	No
available in English translation?		X7
1.12. Do you have example	No	Yes
descriptions of typical 3D parcels;		

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  An ambulatory boundary is a boundary of a land parcel which follows the movements of a natural feature such as a river. Its position determined at points of time (when a survey is carried out), but between such "fixes", the definition of the property is the position of the real world natural feature.

either 'prototype' or 'operational'?		
1.13. Is there a formal model for the 3D	No	Yes
parcels (UML style); e.g. based on ISO		
TC211 series (especially LADM, ISO		
19152)?		
1.14. Are natural resources	No	No
(groundwater, mining rights) shown in		
your land administration? If yes, are		
they considered as 3D parcels (spatial		
units) with RRRs attached?		
1.15. Are legally restricted spaces,	No	No
above or below, such as polluted areas		
considered as 3D parcels?		
1.16. Are spatial plans considered as	No	No
3D parcels (as rights or restrictions are		
related to them)? Sometimes also called		
spatial development plans, zoning plans		
or physical plans (land use, urban,		
regional, environmental,)		
1.17. Any other geometric issues		
related to 3D parcels?		

### 2. Infrastructure/utility networks

This refers to the situation where an infrastructure network is considered to be defined within the land administration. For example in some jurisdictions, an underground network might be privately constructed for the purpose of leasing space in it for other organisations to run cabling. In this case, a network, or part of that network may be considered to be a real estate object.

	Status 2014	Expectations 2018
2.1. Do you register networks as an	Yes	Yes
entity in the land administration? (e.g.		
subterranean conduit networks)		
2.2. If so, then	a) Yes	a) Yes
(a) can the network structure be viewed	b)Yes	b)Yes
graphically in the land administration?	c)No	c)No
(b) can the network structure be traced	d)Yes (Easement of transfer)	d)Yes (Easement of
in the database(s)?		transfer)
(c) are networks registered by means of		
a cadastral identifier (such as a 'parcel		
number')?		
(d) are RRRs and parties attached to		
these network objects?		
2.3. Does the jurisdiction have private	Yes. The Civil Code, Art. 49	Yes
networks? If so please, mention law		
and article(s).	N	N
2.4. If so, are they registered as 3D	No	No
property parcels (spatial units)?	V D 1. (	XZ
2.5. Is the text of relevant laws or	Yes. Regulation of the Minister of Administration	Yes
regulations (question 2.3) available in		
original language? If so, give references	and Digitization of 12 February 2013 concerning	
to relevant document(s).	geodetic database of utilities,	
	topographical objects	
	database and base map.	
2.6. Is the text of laws and regulations	No	No
(relevant part) available in English		
translation?		
2.7. Do you have example descriptions	No	No
of typical 3D parcels (spatial units) for		
networks; either 'prototype' or		
'operational'?		
2.8. If the network (legal) objects break	Utilities networks	Utilities networks
at the surface parcel, how do you deal	localization is agreed in the	localization is agreed in the
with intersecting networks or vertically	stage of its designing in the	stage of its designing in the
parallel networks?	Groups for Design	Groups for Design
	Documentation Coordination	Documentation
	on the <i>powiat</i> (county) level.	Coordination on the <i>powiat</i>
		(county) level.
2.9. Any other geometric issues related		
to the registration of networks?		

### 3. Construction/building units

This refers to 3D properties that are related to constructions and apartment (condominium) buildings. The individual units are often defined by the actual walls and structure of a building, rather than by metes and bounds. E.g. "unit 5 on level 6 of … building".

	Status 2014	Expectations 2018
3.1. Do you register 3D	Yes	Yes
construction/building units?		
3.2. If so, what are the most important	Buildings, constructions,	Buildings, constructions,
types? E.g. apartment units, or also	apartment units	apartment units
other buildings or even more general	•	•
constructions (infra related; such as		
bridge, tunnel or even other, such as		
windmills,)		
3.3. Does the jurisdiction have	Yes. Geodetic and	Yes
construction/building units? If so	Cartographic Law. Art. 2.8	
please, mention law and article(s).		
3.4. Is the legal text available in	Yes	Yes
original language?		
3.5. Is the legal text (relevant part)	No	No
available in English translation?		
3.6. Do you have example descriptions	No	Yes
of typical 3D parcels; either 'prototype'		
or 'operational'?		
3.7. What would be typical 3D	No rules defined	Yes. Boundaries defined
boundaries in an apartment complex:		by legal regulations.
middle of the wall and floor/ceiling, or		
walls, floors/ceiling as neutral/shared		
3D space? Is it mentioned in any		
legislation or is it the convention?		
3.8. Is common property inside the	No	No
building registered? If so, how?		
3.9. Who owns the common property	Apartment units owners in	Apartment units owners in
inside the building?	respective parts	respective parts
3.10. Who owns the land on which the	Building owner(s)	Building owner(s)
apartment is built?	Apartment units owner if	Apartment units owner if
	separate apartments have	separate apartments have
	been established.	been established.
	State or local government if	State or local government
	the right of perpetual	if the right of perpetual
	usufruct of building has been	usufruct of building has
	established.	been established.
3.11. Do you allow sub-division of	Yes, only vertically if	Yes, only vertically if
apartments or apartment blocks?	created parts are not	created parts are not
	connected with windows	connected with windows
	doors and so like, and have	doors and so like, and have
	separate entrances and	separate entrances and
	installations.	installations.
	Horizontal division is not	Horizontal division is not

	possible, except establishing of independent apartments.	possible, except establishing of independent apartments.
3.12. Can the land on which the	No	No
building is built be sub-divided or sold		
or mortgaged without the consent of		
majority of the apartment owners?		
3.13. What is the numbering	Cadastral parcel:	Cadastral parcel:
convention for apartments (please	Mostly	Mostly
specify in terms of cadastral parcel as	ParcelNo.BuildingNo	ParcelNo.BuildingNo
well as street addressing)	(subsequent)	(subsequent)
	Street addressing:	Street addressing:
	BuildingNo / ApartmentNo	BuildingNo / ApartmentNo
3.14. Any other geometric issues?		

### 4. X/Y Coordinates

	Status 2014	Expectations 2018
4.1. Do the plans of survey guarantee X/Y coordinates? (and are they relative or in an absolute spatial reference system?)	Absolute	Absolute
4.2. Are the cadastral database coordinates authoritative?	Yes	Yes
4.3. If not, what is the authoritative source of X/Y coordinates?		
4.4. Do you have parcels defined by the walls of a building (with no recorded geometry)?	No	No
4.5. What is the spatial reference	XY coordinate systems:	
system for X/Y Coordinates?	Generally: PL-2000 (EPSG: 2176-2179) – scales (1:10 000 and larger) also PL-1992 (EPSG:2180) PL-LAEA (for maps on European level) PL-LCC (for 1:500 000 and smaller scales) PL-UTM (scales 1:10 000 – 1: 250 000 also sea and national security maps )	
4.6. Any other X/Y coordinate issues?		

# 5. Z Coordinates/height representation

	Status 2014	Expectations 2018
5.1. Are the Z coordinates of 3D parcels relative to local ground?		No
5.2. Are Z coordinates reduced to a standard datum (absolute)? If so, what is the spatial reference system for the Z coordinate?	Yes. PL-KRON86-NH PL-EVRF2007-NH – as a physical and mathematical realisation of European height system EVRS	
5.3. In principle possible to store both relative and absolute Z coordinate?		
5.4. Is the earth surface (height) explicitly stored (in the DCDB or other accessible register)?	Yes. The database of aerial and satellite imaging, orthophotomap and Digital Terrain Model.	Yes. The database of aerial and satellite imaging, orthophotomap and Digital Terrain Model.
5.5. What is the source of elevation for the 2D surface parcel?	Usually, there is not Z coordinate for boundary points	Usually, there is not Z coordinate for boundary points
5.6. Any other Z coordinate issues?		

## 6. Temporal Issues

	Status 2014	Expectations 2018
6.1. Are temporal limits part of the	No	No
definition of a parcel (2D or 3D)?		
6.2. Are moving parcels allowed?	No	No
6.3. Are there any limitations on the		
range of temporal limits?		
(e.g. only on 3D apartments).		
6.4. Are there any attempt to integrate	No	No
3D space and temporal representations,		
into a single 4D space/time		
representation?		
6.5. In the case of tidal boundaries,		
what happens to the 3D ambulatory		
parcel if the 2D land parcel changes		
extent due to the movement of High		
Water Mark?		
6.6. Any other temporal issues?	The right of perpetual	The right of perpetual
	usufruct may be granted for	usufruct may be granted
	40-99 years	for 40-99 years

# 7. Rights, Restrictions and Responsibilities

	Status 2014	Expectations 2018
7.1. Range of RRR on 3D parcels.		F
7.2. Are there any limitations on the range of rights related to 3D spatial units? (e.g. subterranean parcels must	The limitations for potential 3D parcels are defined within the Aviation Law and the	The limitations for potential 3D parcels are defined within the Aviation
be owned by Govt).	Geological and Mining Law	Law and the Geological and Mining Law
7.3. Are there any limitations on the range of restrictions or responsibilities related to 3D spatial units? (i.e.		
currently in use and related to 2D spatial units, but that would not be applicable to 3D).		
7.4. Are there RRRs that are only allowed in 3D (and not valid for 2D)		
7.5. Is there specific legislation (laws, regulations) defining 3D RRR types? If so, provide details, e.g. references to documents/ articles.	No	No
7.6. Can 3D sub-surface/above-surface parcel be owned by someone other that the person owning the land parcel?		
7.7. What applications do you foresee for 3D land administration?		Solving problems if ownership or rights overlaps or interlaces in the third dimension
7.8. Are the administrative source documents (source of RRRs) title or deed based?	Both, but nowadays there are usually deeds.	Both, but nowadays there are usually deeds.
7.9 Who is responsible for the correctness of the specified 3D boundaries in spatial source documents (which authority)?		Cadastral authorities.
7.10. Is registration of 3D parcels done inside the cadastral mapping agency, the land registry or elsewhere?		Cadastral authorities.
7.11. Are 3D registrations handled by the same organisation that handles traditional (2D) land administration?		Yes
7.12. Do you supply paper-based titles or deeds or proof of ownership? If yes, does this contain depictions of the 2D or 3D parcel?	Yes. They contain depictions of 2D parcel or plans for apartment units.	Yes. They contain depictions of 2D parcel or plans for apartment units.
7.13. Any other RRR issues?		

## 8. DCDB (The Cadastral Database)

	Status 2014	Expectations 2018
8.0. Is database schema LADM based?	No, but it is prepared applying UML.	No, but it is prepared applying UML.
8.1. Does the DCDB contain representation of 3D parcels (in any form)?	No	No
8.2. If so, how are they represented (in the DCDB)?		
8.3. If so, how are they presented on cadastral "maps" (including screen presentations)?		
8.4. Are there possibilities to store geometry of 3D parcels in the DCDB?		Probably yes.
8.5. Is it possible to manage a 3D topological structure in the DCDB?		
8.6. Are constraints/rules defined for valid 3D objects (closed volume, no overlap, no gap in 3D)? What about rules for a mix of 2D and 3D representations?	No	Closed volume.
8.7. How can internal and external user query and visualize the 3D content supporting rotating, slicing, transparency, perspective (3D web/view service, 3D pdf documents,)?		View services for internal users.
8.8. What Spatial DBMS software do you use? Any 3D capabilities included and used?	Software varies depending of <i>powiat</i> (county). Some of software systems are original Polish productions, some are AutoCAD, Microstation, or ArcGIS based.	Software varies depending of <i>powiat</i> (county). Some of software systems are original Polish productions, some are AutoCAD, Microstation, or ArcGIS based.
8.9. Do you have any validation rules for 3D representation in the database?		
8.10. What (GIS/CAD) software is used for updating, editing, analysis, and visualization of the cadastral data? Any 3D capabilities included and used?	Any software that meets criteria for input data may be used.	Any software that meets criteria for input data may be used.
8.11. What web software is used for remote data access/distribution and visualization? Any 3D capabilities included and used?	Depends on <i>powiat</i> (county)	Depends on <i>powiat</i> (county).
8.12. Is your DCDB organised as Multi-Layers or Object Oriented or some other data model?	At the moment is in the transition period from multi- layer to object oriented	Object oriented.
8.13. How do you query 3D objects in		

your DCDB?		
8.14. Is it possible to query		
neighbourhood parcels to a 3D object,		
vertically as well as horizontally?		
8.15. Any other DCDB issues?	The cadastre in Poland is managed according to the Geodetic and Cartographic Law at the level of <i>powiat</i> (county). It results in fact that the rules for the entire country (Poland) are the same but the organisational and especially technical	The cadastre in Poland is managed according to the Geodetic and Cartographic Law at the level of <i>powiat</i> (county). It results in fact that the rules for the entire country (Poland) are the same but the organisational and especially technical
	issues vary strongly	issues vary strongly
	depending on place.	depending on place.

# 9. Plans of Survey (including field sketches)

	Status 2014	Expectations 2018
9.1. Do the survey plans carry 3D	No	Yes
parcel representations?		
9.2. If so, how are they represented?		
9.3. Is there specific legislation	Yes. Regulation of Minister	The changes in the
(regulations) describing the	of Internal Affairs of	legislation are expected
requirements for Plans of Survey in	9 November 2011	
3D? If so, please give link to the	concerning technical	
relevant documents.	standards for topography and	
	mapping, results elaboration	
	and forwarding to the state	
	geodetic and cartographic	
	resource (Jo. Of Law no	
	263, item 1572)	
9.4. Is sketch level allowed (low		
geometric quality, but in principle		
enough to indicate the 3D object)?		
9.5. Is it possible to define a 3D parcel		No
by referring to other 3D real world		
objects/ topography (and not specifying		
coordinates)?		- 12
9.6. In what format are the 3D parcels		Pdf
submitted for registration; attached to		
legal document in a single pdf (which		
has good 3D capabilities) or in an		
extension of (city)GML for 3D parcels,		
or?		
9.7. Are the 3D parcels somehow		
checked for spatial validity; e.g.		
volume is closed, does not overlap with		
neighbour volume (and also no		
unwanted 3D gaps)?	Yes	Var
9.8. Do you have examples of	Yes	Yes
(prototype or production) 3D survey plans available?		
1	Yes	Yes
9.9. Are any reference objects visible	res	res
on the survey plan (e.g. real buildings,		
roads, that is 3D topography)?	Any possible.	Any possible.
9.10. What form of 3D data acquisition	Any possible.	Any possible.
is used (CAD, terrestrial surveying, sketches, stereo/oblique images, laser		
scanning,)?		
9.11. What software do you use for	Any meeting input criteria.	Any meeting input criteria.
creating and processing survey plans?		Any meeting input criteria.
Any 3D capabilities included and used?		
9.12. Can 3D parcels be subdivided,		Yes. Subdivided or
consolidated or nullified?		consolidated.
	l	consonuated.

9.13. Is there any existing technical circular or directive to assist Surveyors in 3D data collection in the field?	Yes.	Yes
9.14. Are the surveyors required to undertake a field survey for 3D cadastral data?	No	Yes
9.15. Are building construction plans used to compile 3D cadastral information for apartments?	Yes	Yes
9.16. Is 2D/3D field survey done by private licensed surveyors or by government surveyors?	Both possible, but usually private.	Both possible, but usually private.
9.17. Are plans of survey created for each new 2D/3D parcel or are they updated in an index map or a cadastral database.	Yes	Yes
9.18. Do you show dimensions or isometric views of 3D parcels on survey plans (do you also store this in a database)		Yes for dimensions.
9.19. Any other survey plan issues?		

### 10. Dissemination of 3D Cadastral information

	Status 2014	Expectations 2018
10.1. Is there a general purpose web-	Cadastral data are	Cadastral data are
based dissemination of 2D cadastral	disseminated via country	disseminated via country
(graphical or text) information (e.g. a	geoportal and often reginal	geoportal and often reginal
portal for the public or for	geoportals. 3D data are not	geoportals. 3D data are not
	included.	included.
professionals)? If yes, does it include	included.	included.
3D data?		
10.2. Are specific file formats or		
standards used to distribute 3D		
Cadastral information? (e.g. LandXML,		
CityGML, BIM/IFC, 3D pdf,)		
10.3. Are there specific cartographic	No	No
styling rules for representing 3D		
cadastral plans, or to represent 3D		
cadastral objects on 2D cadastral maps?		
10.4. Are there specific cartographic	No	No
styling rules for 3D cadastral maps		
(models; e.g. as disseminated in 3D		
pdf)? If yes, are there 3D specific		
cartographic rules developed or being		
developed?		
10.5. Is the 3D Cadastral information	No	
accessible in integrated manner with		
the 2D Cadastral information?		
10.6. Are there specific symbols on the	No	No
2D cadastral map (paper, digital or		
web-based) indicating the presence of		
3D Cadastral objects (and in web-		
context perhaps even linked)?		
10.7. Is the legal information (RRRs	No	No
and Parties) available in integrated		
manner in dissemination portal with the		
3D Cadastral objects? (even if source of		
legal data may be a different		
organization, but then use information		
infrastructure approach)		
10.8. Are 2D/3D cadastral data	2D data - Only graphical	2D data - Only graphical
	information (including parcel	
available to the general public or just to	identification) is available to	information (including parcel identification) is
the relevant parties?	,	1 /
	general public via geoportals.	available to general public
	No personal data is available.	via geoportals.
		No personal data is
10.9. Any other 3D cadastral		available.
information dissemination issues?		
mormanon dissemination issues:		1

### 11. Statistical information

This part of the questionnaire refers to statistical information (and is most relevant for jurisdictions with parts of 3D Cadastre registration operational, but all are encouraged to complete this section, and especially the expectations for 2018).

	Status 2014	Expectations 2018
11.1. What is the smallest 2D and 3D	No such restriction in 2D	
parcel that is present/ allowed to be		
registered in the land administration?		
11.2. What is the largest 2D and 3D	No such restriction in 2D	
parcel that is present allowed to be		
registered in the land administration?		
11.3. What is the typical (or average)	Vary significantly,	
size of 2D and 3D parcels which are	depending of the region	
registered in the land administration?	(2D parcel).	
Subdivide by nature of 3D parcel when		
relevant (e.g. related to building,		
apartment, airspace, tunnel,)		
11.4. How many 2D and 3D parcels do	35 800 992 - 2D parcels	
you currently have in your land	(31.12.2013)	
administration?		
11.5. Which year did you start		
registering 3D parcels in the land		
administration?		
11.6. What is the ratio of 3D parcels in		
rural vs. urban areas?		
11.7. Please specify names of cities or		
towns or suburbs or regions or		
locations where there are significant		
numbers of 3D parcels.		
11.8. Please provide the following data:	Poland (data on end of 2013)	
(a) Size of jurisdiction in square	a) 312 679	
kilometres	b) 35 800 992	
(b) Current number of 2D parcels	c) 0	
(c) Current number of 3D parcels	d) 38 496 thousands	
(d) Current population		
11.9. Approximately what are the		
proportions of various types of the 3D		
parcels (related to apartments,		
subsurface parking, subsurface		
shopping centres, bridges, tunnels,		
airspace, utility networks, etc)?		
11.10. Approximately what surface area		
of the jurisdiction is affected by 3D		
parcels (the total area of all the		
footprint of all 3D parcels).		
11.11. Any other interesting statistical		
fact(s)?		

### 12. Reflection

This section is only relevant in case also in 2010 the 3D cadastres questionnaire for your jurisdictions was completed (otherwise skip this section).

	Remarks
12.1. Compared to the 2010	No
expectations, which 3D land	
administration developments did go	
faster than expected?	
12.2. Same question, but now, which	The 3D cadastre ideas got quite a big popularity, especially
developments did go slower than	(but not only) in academic community but they have not
expected?	not followed by legal regulations
12.3. If some (limited) form of 3D	Gives the general building parameters. Enables apartments
Land administration functionality has	units general localization within the building (apartment
become available, what are the	units complex).
observed benefits? And for who?	
12.4. What are the (top-3) challenges of	Formal definition of 3D cadastral objects in legal
issues to be addressed to realize further	regulations.
3D Land administration progress?	Pilot project.
	Creating at least circulars for 3D cadastral surveys.
12.5. Any other reflections?	

#### 13. Other Issues

	Remarks
13.1. Country (State, Province)	
13.2. Your name,	Jarosław Bydłosz, PhD, lecturer and researcher
function/position and	Agnieszka Bieda, PhD, lecturer and researcher
your organization	Anita Kwartnik-Pruc, PhD, lecturer and researcher
	AGH University of Science and Technology,
13.3. Contact details:	AGH University of Science and Technology,
address	30-059 Kraków, al. Mickiewicza 30, paw. C-4
email,	bydlosz@agh.edu.pl, bieda@agh.edu.pl,
telephone	+ 48 12 617 22 67
	<u>akwart@agh.edu.pl</u> , + 48 12 617 33 26
13.4. Other issues	

Please include any other issues that may be of interest in an international context. For example, in some foreign jurisdictions 3D parcels can only be separated by horizontal planes.

#### References

ISO 19152:2012 'Geographic information - Land Administration Domain Model (LADM), http://www.iso.org/iso/iso\_catalogue/catalogue\_tc/catalogue\_detail.htm?csnumber=51206

Peter van Oosterom, Jantien Stoter, Hendrik Ploeger, Rod Thompson and Sudarshan Karki (2011). World-wide Inventory of the Status of 3D Cadastres in 2010 and Expectations for 2014. presented at the FIG Working Week 2011, Marrakech, 21 p. http://www.gdmc.nl/3DCadastres/literature/3Dcad\_2011\_02.pdf

Sudarshan Karki (2013). 3D Cadastre Implementation Issues in Australia. MSc Thesis, University of Southern Queensland (Master of Spatial Science Research), 162 p., http://eprints.usq.edu.au/23560/1/Karki 2013 whole.pdf