





























## Results: personal geo-identification

- First information: GPS position, linking and comparing patterns and sizes
- Sources of confusion: low diversity of structural elements, irrelevant map road sizes, inaccuracy of GPS
- Sources of direction mistakes: GPS orientation, absence of map orientation towards point of view

0



- Useful landmarks during the test: canals, road patterns and sizes, street names, parks / squares and roundabouts, landmarks wanted by persons: big shops, easily recognizable restaurants (e.g. fast food branches), churches, noticeable monuments, important buildings such as municipal offices, tall buildings, pedestrian paths, canals, bridges and parks
- Problems in relating real landmarks to mobile maps: absent or absent/appear in different zoom levels, not conceivable form
- Development of mental landmarks obstructed by using the geo-mobile applications



- Not many 3D building models should be on display: only retain the important ones
- Photos more preferable than 3D models
- Rotating map display desirable (in direction of movement / point of view)

## **Results: zooming**

- Frequent zooming in & out required to retain contact between reality and mental maps. Maintaining visibility of landmarks in successive scales could improve interaction
- No difference noticed between smooth zooming and step-wise zooming

O

## Conclusions Suitable research methodology for explorative requirement analysis Feasible determination of landmarks to suppor

- Feasible determination of landmarks to support personal geo-identification
- Simpler technical solutions are preferred (landmark representation through pop-up windows with pictorial and text information)
- Further research on smooth zooming usability and related landmark visualization needed
- Efficiently connecting user's real and virtual geographic worlds a key to increase usability

